UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

101 ti	IC .
District of	New Mexico
United States of America v. JOHN BENJAMIN THORNTON Defendant Defendant	Case No. 21-MJ-713
ORDER OF DETENTION	ON PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligibility	for Detention
Upon the	
 ☑ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to ☑ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion ∴ the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is verified. 	tion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2), varranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact
and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in	
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as	s to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § presumption that no condition or combination of condition and the community because the following conditions have	ons will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
☐ (b) an offense for which the maximum sente☐ (c) an offense for which a maximum term ofControlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 80	S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. an of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or n	cted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs nore State or local offenses that would have been offenses f this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal
	of violence but involves: earm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); ailure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that we to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	f a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. ould have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
	or which the defendant has been convicted was adding trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i> and since the date of conviction, or the release of the

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472	(Rev. 11/16	Order of Detenti	on Pending Trial
AU 4/2	(Kev. 11/10) Order of Determ	on Pending Thai

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☐ Prior criminal history
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☐ Prior criminal history ☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☐ Prior criminal history ☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☐ History of violence or use of weapons
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☐ Prior criminal history ☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☐ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☐ Prior criminal history ☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☐ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse ☐ Lack of stable employment

Case 2:21-mj-00713-GBW Document 11 Filed 06/03/21 Page 3 of 3

•
AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
☐ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
□ Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:
Nature of threats defendant is alleged to have made. Warrant for failure to appear in Texas.
Book IV. Diversitions Beautifus Detection
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representation confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, to person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
Date: 06/03/2021 /s/ Carmen F. Garza

United States Magistrate Judge